

Chern-Simons term in the 4-dimensional SU(2) Higgs Model

F. Karsch^{1,2}, M. L. Laursen^{1,a}
T. Neuhaus² and B. Plache²

¹ HLRZ, c/o KFA Jülich, P.O. Box 1913, D-5170 Jülich, Germany

² Fak. f. Physik, Univ. Bielefeld, D-4800 Bielefeld, Germany

Abstract

Using Seibergs definition for the geometric charge in SU(2) lattice gauge theory, we have managed to apply it also to the Chern-Simons term. We checked the periodic structure and determined the Chern-Simons density on small lattices L^4 and $L^3 \times 2, 4$ with $L = 4, 6$, and 8 near the critical region in the SU(2) Higgs model. The data indicate that tunneling is increased at high temperature.

^aTalk presented by M. L. Laursen at the Symposium on Lattice Field Theory, Lattice 92, Amsterdam September 15-19, 1992.

1 Introduction

Some years back 't Hooft found that the baryon number and the lepton number are not conserved in the electroweak theory [1]. While the $B - L$ symmetry remains unbroken due to the anomaly cancellation, $B + L$ is no longer conserved. This so-called baryon number violation is caused by the nontrivial topological winding of the $SU(2)$ gauge fields. The anomaly of the fermionic current relates the winding of the gauge fields and changes the baryon number by an amount

$$B(t_2) - B(t_1) = \frac{N_f}{16\pi^2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int d^3x \text{tr}[F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}] \quad (1.1)$$

where N_f is the number of families of quarks and leptons. In the axial gauge $A_0 = 0$ we can relate the change in the baryon number to the change in the Chern-Simons number

$$B(t_2) - B(t_1) = N_f [N_{CS}(t_2) - N_{CS}(t_1)] \quad (1.2)$$

where the Chern-Simons number N_{CS} is

$$N_{CS} = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int d^3x \epsilon_{ijk} \text{tr}[A_i(\partial_j A_k + \frac{2}{3} A_j A_k)]. \quad (1.3)$$

At zero temperature such processes are exponentially suppressed as $\exp(-2\pi/\alpha_W)$, $\alpha \approx 1/30$. This is because any gauge field configuration which changes the winding number has an action at least that of the barrier height $2\pi/\alpha_W$.

At high temperatures which prevail in the early universe such an exponential suppression is absent, since the system can pass over the barrier classically. The only suppression factor is the Boltzmann factor $\exp(-\beta E)$ where E is the barrier height, and this factor is close to one ref. [2]. Any baryon asymmetry generated at the GUT scale will get washed out as the universe approaches the electroweak phase transition from above. If one assumes that the transition is of first order, together with CP violating processes and thermal non-equilibrium (provided by the expansion of the universe) one can explain the baryon asymmetry in the universe.

There exists semiclassical solutions of the Yang-Mills Higgs fields which are believed to be important in this scenario. These solutions are known as sphalerons. They are static, have finite energy, but are unstable. The sphaleron sits so to speak on top of the barrier and it has a baryonic charge of $1/2$. While the instanton tunnels

between two Chern-Simons vacua (in the axial gauge) one must imagine a different time dependent solution which interpolates between a Chern-Simons vacuum and the top of the barrier.

There are some lattice studies of baryon number violating processes in the 2d - Abelian Higgs model [3], and in the 4d - SU(2) Higgs model [4]. The configurations are prepared at high temperature and the system is allowed to change via the classical Hamiltonian equation of motion. Since the axial gauge is used, the Gauss constraint must be implemented in addition. The Chern-Simons term $N_{CS}(t)$ is monitored during the time evolution as a function of the temperature. When the system passes through a sphaleron transition one finds $\Delta N_{CS} = \pm 1$. All these calculations are done in the real time formalism.

We have initiated work on the 4d - SU(2) Higgs model in Euclidean time, trying to see how the temperature influences tunneling. To evaluate N_{CS} we have used a geometric definition given by Seiberg see ref. [5]. It requires a two dimensional numerical integration, but we have an efficient vectorized code which is an improvement of the code used in ref. [6]. See also ref. [7] for alternative definitions of the Chern-Simons term.

2 Topological charge and the Chern-Simons term in the continuum

We will first define the topological charge in SU(2). The gauge field is A_μ and the gauge field tensor is $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu + [A_\mu, A_\nu]$. Under a local gauge transformation g the gauge field changes as: $\delta A_\mu = g^{-1}[A_\mu + \partial_\mu]g(x)$, while the gauge field tensor transforms gauge covariantly $F_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow g^{-1}F_{\mu\nu}g$. The topological charge Q is gauge invariant and an integer,

$$Q = -\frac{1}{32\pi} \int_M d^4x \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \text{tr}[F_{\mu\nu}F_{\rho\sigma}] \in Z. \quad (2.1)$$

The manifold is denoted M and we shall assume that its boundary ∂M is a three sphere S^3 . The topological charge density q can be written as a perfect derivative

$$q = -\frac{1}{32\pi} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \text{tr}[F_{\mu\nu}F_{\rho\sigma}] = \partial_\mu K_\mu \quad (2.2)$$

where the Chern-Simons density K_μ is

$$K_\mu = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\text{tr}[A_\nu(\partial_\rho A_\sigma + \frac{2}{3}A_\rho A_\sigma)]. \quad (2.3)$$

It is gauge variant and changes under the gauge transformation g by an amount $(\mathcal{G}_\nu = \partial_\nu g g^{-1})$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta K_\mu = & -\frac{1}{24\pi^2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\text{tr}[\mathcal{G}_\nu\mathcal{G}_\rho\mathcal{G}_\sigma] \\ & -\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\partial_\nu\text{tr}[\mathcal{G}_\rho A_\sigma]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

We define the (timelike) Chern-Simons number N_{CS} as follows:

$$N_{CS} = \int_{\partial M} d^3x K_0 \notin Z. \quad (2.5)$$

While N_{CS} is only an integer for pure gauge configurations, the gauge variation is an integer (the boundary term vanishes)

$$\delta N_{CS} = -\frac{1}{24\pi^2}\epsilon_{0\nu\rho\sigma}\int_{\partial M} d^3x \text{tr}[\mathcal{G}_\nu\mathcal{G}_\rho\mathcal{G}_\sigma] \in Z. \quad (2.6)$$

This follows also from homotopy theory using the mapping $g : S^3 \rightarrow SU(2) = S^3$. Such mappings are characterized with the homotopy class $\Pi_3(S^3) \in Z$.

3 Topological charge and the Chern-Simons term on the lattice

We will now consider the lattice version of the topological charge and the Chern-Simons number. We will use a geometric definition. Problems with dislocations will be ignored here. The manifold is a four torus $M = T^4$ and we will cover M with cells (hypercubes) $c(n)$. Let the gauge potential A_ν^n be defined on $c(n)$ and likewise $A_\nu^{n-\hat{\mu}}$ be defined on $c(n-\hat{\mu})$. At the faces (cubes) $f(n, \mu) = c(n-\hat{\mu}) \cap c(n)$, we can relate the two potentials by a transition function $v_{n,\mu}$

$$A_\nu^{n-\hat{\mu}} = v_{n,\mu}^{-1}[A_\nu^n + \partial_\nu]v_{n,\mu}. \quad (3.1)$$

In Lüscher's version one first fixes to a local complete axial gauge in each $c(n)$. This will define $v_{n,\mu}$ at the corners of the hypercube. It is then possible to extend it to the whole cube. The topological charge is $(\mathcal{S}_\nu = s^{-1}\partial_\nu s, \mathcal{P}_\nu = p^{-1}\partial_\nu p)$,

$$Q^L = \sum_n q^L(n) = \sum_{n,\mu} (-1)^\mu (k_{n,\mu} - k_{n+\mu,\mu}),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(-1)^\mu k_{n,\mu} = & - \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \int_f d^3x \text{tr}[\mathcal{S}_\nu \mathcal{S}_\rho \mathcal{S}_\sigma] \\
& + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \int_{\partial f} d^2x \text{tr}[\mathcal{P}_\rho \mathcal{S}_\sigma].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

The function s is defined on the cube, while p is defined on the boundary of the cube. The actual expressions are given in ref. [5]. In Seibergs version no local gauge fixing is performed, but otherwise the same interpolation is performed. Replace $(s, p, k_{n,\mu}) \rightarrow (S, P, K_{n,\mu})$. The difference is that that S and P only depend on the original gauge fields in the cube. Then $N_{CS} = \sum_{n_s} K_{n_s,\mu}$ is nothing but a Chern-Simons term (the summation is over the spatial lattice only). Like in the continuum it is only an integer for pure gauge field configurations, but under gauge transformations it changes by an integer. The corresponding topological charge is defined as $Q^S = \sum_n \tilde{q}^S(n)$, where $-1/2 \leq \tilde{q}^S(n) < 1/2$. By restricting the charge to this interval we will have a gauge invariant charge definition. We find that both the topological charge and the Chern-Simons term have the correct naive continuum limit. As an interesting corollary we verify that the two charge definitions are related. If we introduce the section of the Lüscher bundle: $w(x)$, $x \in \partial c(n)$, then $s(x) = w(0)S(x)w^{-1}(x)$ Inserting this in the above expressions yields $q^S(n) = q^L(n) - q^w(n)$ where $q^w(n)$ is the topological charge (integer) of the section. Therefore $\tilde{q}^S(n) = q^L(n)$ up to integers [8]. For smooth fields like instantons they always agree, while for realistic configurations this is true for almost every hypercube. It is interesting to look at the Chern-Simons number at each time slice of an instanton in the complete axial gauge. This is shown in Fig. 1. We start in the vacuum sector $N_{CS} = 0$ and move towards the other vacuum sector $N_{CS} = 1$. On the last time slice we must return to the first vacuum due to periodic boundary conditions.

4 Tests and Monte-Carlo results for the Chern-Simons density

We have used an SU(2) Higgs model with action:

$$\begin{aligned}
S = & - \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{n,\mu < \nu} \text{tr}[U_{n,\mu\nu}] + \lambda \sum_n (\Phi_n^\dagger \Phi_n - 1)^2 \\
& - \kappa \sum_{n,\mu} \text{tr}[\Phi_n^\dagger U_{n,\mu} \Phi_{n+\mu}].
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

We always tried to work close to the Higgs phase transition. We looked at the periodic structure for a $4^3 \times 2$ lattice at $(\beta, \kappa, \lambda) = (2.25, 0.3, 0.5)$. We did 1000 configurations and we measured N_{CS} without any gauge fixing. In Fig. 2 we have plotted the Chern-Simons probability. The periodic structure is obvious. Notice that most of the configurations have N_{CS} close to an integer, and therefore can be interpreted as being pure gauge. We checked that N_{CS} indeed changed by an integer under an axial gauge transformation. Next we compared the probabilities for the two lattices 6^4 and $6^3 \times 2$. For these lattices we used 50 Landau gauge fixing sweeps, to make the integrals converge fast. In both cases we have 6000 Chern-Simons numbers. The results for the restricted and therefore gauge invariant $\tilde{N}_{CS}$ ($-1/2 \leq \tilde{N}_{CS} < 1/2$) are shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4. There is a trend in the direction of a flatter distribution at finite temperature. We take this as evidence that the system tunnels more often. A few words about the integrations done. One of the integrations can be done analytically so we are left with a two dimensional integral. We have used the following strategy, which turned out to be quite efficient. Perform a Gaussian integration with 8×8 points and store the results for the eight $K_{n,\mu}$'s in each hypercube. Redo the same thing with 16×16 points and compare the results for each $K_{n,\mu}$. If the relative difference is less than 0.0001 we accept the contribution. Otherwise we collect the $K_{n,\mu}$'s which have not yet converged. These we redo with 32×32 points instead. Compare with the previous values and repeat the procedure with 64×64 points. Usually, at this point only a few $K_{n,\mu}$'s have not converged, so for these we use a library integration routine with interval adaption. The typical time for one topological charge on a 6^4 lattice is 100 seconds on the CRAY-YMP. The charges are integers up to errors of the order 10^{-4} . The corresponding time for one Chern-Simons number in a timeslice is around 5 seconds.

Figure 1: Profile of N_{CS} through an instanton configuration.

References

- [1] G. 't Hooft, Phys. Rev. Lett. 37 (1976) 8; Phys. Rev. **D14** (1976) 3432.
- [2] V. A. Kuzmin, V. A. Rubakov and M. E. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. **B155** (1985) 36.
- [3] D. Yu. Grigoriev, V. A. Rubakov and M. E. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. **B216** (1989) 172; Nucl. Phys. **B326** (1989) 737;
A. I. Bochkevich and Ph. de Forcrand, Phys. Rev. **D44** (1991) 519.
- [4] J. Ambjørn, M. L. Laursen and M. E. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. **B179** (1987) 757; Nucl. Phys. **B353** (1989) 483; J. Ambjørn *et al*, Phys. Lett. **B244** (1990) 479; J. Ambjørn, K. Farakos, NBI-HE-92-52 preprint.
- [5] M. Lüscher, Commun. Math. Phys. **85** (1982) 39; N. Seiberg, Phys. Lett. **B148** (1984) 456.
- [6] I. A. Fox, J. P. Gilchrist, M. L. Laursen and G. Schierholz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **54** (1985) 749.
- [7] M. Göckeler, A. S. Kronfeld, G. Schierholz and U. -J. Wiese, HLRZ-92-34 preprint;
A. Phillips and D. Stone, Nucl. Phys. **B** (Proc. Suppl.) 20 (1991) 28.
- [8] M. L. Laursen, HLRZ-92-61 preprint.

Figure 2: The periodic structure for N_{CS} .

Figure 3: The Chern-Simons probability at zero temperature as a function of $\tilde{N}_{CS}$.

Figure 4: The Chern-Simons probability at finite temperature as a function of $\tilde{N}_{CS}$.